

Planning Team Report

Proposed residential rezoning of land at 67 and 77 Avon Dam Road and 214, 218, 218, 235 and 245 Hawthorne Road, Bargo.

Proposal Title :	Proposed residential rezoning 245 Hawthorne Road, Bargo.	g of land at 67 and 77 Avo	on Dam Road and 214, 218, 218, 235 and	
Proposal Summary :	The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011 to facilitate the rezoning of land at 67 and 77 Avon Dam Road, and 214, 218, 235 and 245 Hawthorne Road, Bargo (Lots 1, 2, 7, 8 and 9 in DP 877774 and Lot 132 in DP 851807), to R2 Low Density Residential and R5 Large Lot Residential. It is anticipated that approximately 40 lots may be permissible within the proposed R2 and R5 zones (in total).			
	include a minimum lot size pr Low Density Residential zone Hawthorne Road), and a minir	ovision of 700 square me (portion of the land from num lot size provision of	ons which apply to the subject lands, to etres for land within the proposed R2 ting Avon Dam Road and part of 54000 square metres for land within the the land fronting Hawthorne Road).	
PP Number :	PP_2011_WOLLY_016_00	Dop File No :	12/01429	

Proposal Details

Date Planning Proposal Receiv	17-Jan-2012 ed :		LGA covered :	Wollondilly	
Region :	Sydney Region West		RPA :	Wollondilly S	hire Council
State Electorate	WOLLONDILLY		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
Location Details	3				
Street :	67 and 77 Avon Dam Road	1			
Suburb :	Bargo	City :	Wollondilly	Postcode :	2574
Land Parcel :	Lot 9 in DP 877774, and Lo	ot 132 in D	P 851807		
Street :	214,218, 235 and 245 Haw	thorne Roa	ad		
Suburb :	Bargo	City :	Wollondilly	Postcode :	2574
Land Parcel :	Lots 1,2, 7 and 8 in DP 877	774			

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Claire Mirow
Contact Number :	0298738597
Contact Email :	claire.mirow@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Kitty Carter
Contact Number :	0246778230
Contact Email :	Kitty.Carter@wollondilly.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Terry Doran
Contact Number :	0298738557
Contact Email :	Terry.Doran@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

	Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
	Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
	No. of Lots :	22	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	22
	Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :			
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
	If Yes, comment :	LOBBYIST STATEMENT At this time, to the best of the Reg communications with lobbyists re		
;	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting Notes :	BACKGROUND		
	110165 .	The land in question was the subj had resolved to defer at a meeting Management Strategy (Refer to pa December, 2011).	on 16 February 2009, pendin	g the results of a Growth
		The Wollondilly Growth Managem	ent Strategy (the 'WGMS') wa	s endorsed by Council in
		~	. 0 . [40	40 200 101

February 2011, providing an overarching framework and key policy directions to effectively manage growth and development in the Wollondilly Shire over the next 25 years, while preserving the Shire's unique character.

The WGMS incorporates an Assessment Criteria to inform Council's decisions on Planning Proposals and assist in the management of future growth and development of land in the Wollondilly Shire for residential and employment purposes.

Findings ascertained from a preliminary assessment of the proposed rezoning application against the WGMS criteria, that was presented to Council in April 2011, identified potential consistency of the Proposal with the WGMS (Refer to page 205 of the attached Report to Council dated 12 December, 2011).

A Planning Proposal for the rezoning of the subject lands was submitted to Council on 25 August 2011, which was subsequently revised and resubmitted on 5 September,2011.

Between 19 September and 28 October, 2011, Council undertook preliminary consultations with internal department's and relevant land owners located within the vicinity of the subject lands, in respect to the initial draft Planning Proposal.

The key issues raised in the submission's received from nearby land owners and Council's internal departments during this preliminary consultation period are summarised below:

- The proposed residential rezoning on the subject lands may generate a potential land use conflict issue with the nearby poultry farm;

- An Acoustic Assessment will need to be undertaken with respect to the proposed new residential areas that may be affected by the nearby railway line;

- Clause 4.1(5) of the Wollondilly LEP 2011 requires that R2 Low Density Residential lots be a minimum of 975 square metres in size, if they are not connected to a reticulated sewerage system. The original proposal sought to utilise pump-out services for the proposed R2 residential lots, prior to connection to a reticulated sewerage service in Bargo, which is anticipated to commence construction in 2014/15. In light of this, the proposed 700 square metre R2 residential lots within the subject lands will be unable to be developed until such connections are established;

- The subject land has been identified as a potential koala habitat and contains some species of Cumberland Plain Woodland;

- Further investigations of the treatment of the drainage line which intersects with a portion of the proposed lots to be zone R5 Large Lot Residential, and preparation of a concept drainage plan for the subject lands is recommended;

- An Odour Report should be completed post Gateway Determination and prior to any other studies, to investigate the potential impacts of the nearby poultry farm on the proposed residential zoned land;

- Investigations of the potential noise impacts of the nearby poultry farm and proposed residential zoned land should be conducted; and

- A Traffic Impact Assessment report should be prepared to address the potential traffic implications of the proposed residential rezoning.

At an Ordinary Meeting on 12 December 2011, Council resolved to amend the draft Planning Proposal for the subject site to address a number of issues, and forward the Proposal to the Department for a Gateway Determination (Refer to Council Resolution dated 12 December 2011, as attached).

	toud, Duryo.
	On 21 December 2011, Council wrote to the Department's Sydney West Regional Director advising of its resolution at an Ordinary meeting on 12 December 2011, to revise the Planning Proposal to seek an amendment to the Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011 to rezone the subject lands at 67-77 Avon Dam Road and 214, 218, 235 and 245 Hawthorne Road, Bargo (Lots 1, 2, 7, 8 and 9 in DP 877774 and Lot 132 in DP 851807) from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to R2 Low Density Residential and R5 Large Lot Residential (Refer to Cover letter from Council dated 21 December, 2011, and Planning Proposal, as attached). It is also proposed that the current lot size provisions for the subject lands be amended to include a minimum allotment size of 700 square metres in the proposed R2 Low Density Residential Zone, and 4000 square metres in the proposed R5 Large Lot Residential Zone. This Planning Proposal was initiated by Wollondilly Shire Council, in accordance with section 55 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979, to permit low density and
	large lot residential allotments on the subject lands.
	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT The political donation disclosure law's commenced on 1 October, 2008. The legislation requires public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the Planning system.
	The disclosure requirements under the new legislation are triggered by the making of relevant planning applications and relevant public submissions on such applications.
	The term relevant planning application means: "A formal request to the Minister, a council or the Director-General to initiate the making of an environmental planning instrument"
	Planning Circular PS 08-009 specifies that a person who makes a public submission to the Minister or Director General is required to disclose all reportable political donations (if any).
	No disclosures were provided for this planning proposal.
	RECEIVED DATE The Planning Proposal was received by the Regional Planning Team on 22 December 2011. Owing to the closure of the Office over the Christmas/New Year period, an assessment of the Planning proposal was undertaken following re-opening of the Office in January, 2012.
	Further information was requested and provided by Council on 17 January, 2012, allowing the Regional Team's Planing Report to be completed.
External Supporting Notes :	
Adequacy Assessme	nt
Statement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of the c	bjectives provided? Yes
Comment :	The application clearly identifies the objectives of the proposed rezoning, to facilitate the creation of low density and large lot residential allotments on the subject lands (Refer to

page 2 of the attached Planning Proposal).

creation of low density and large lot residential allotments on the subject lands (Refer to

	,	
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55	5(2)(b)
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes	
Comment :	The Planning Propos the attached Plannin	al contains an adequate explanation of provisions (Refer to page 3 of g Proposal).
Justification - s55 (2	!)(c)	
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the D	Director General? No
b) S.117 directions iden	tified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones
* May need the Director	General's agreement	 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Is the Director Gener	al's agreement required?	Yes
c) Consistent with Stand	fard Instrument (LEPs) Or	rder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have t	he RPA identified?	 SEPP No 1—Development Standards SEPP No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 6—Number of Storeys in a Building SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)
e) List any other	SECTION 117 DIREC	CTIONS
matters that need to be considered :	1.2 RURAL ZONES Appendix 2 of the ar with this Direction.	ttached Planning Proposal indicates that the proposal is consistent
	residential zone, wi Director-General ca justified by a strateg	that a Planning Proposal must not rezone land from a rural to th the departure from the terms of Direction 1.2 permitted only if the n be satisfied that the provisions of the Planning Proposal are gy/study, comply with the relevant Sub-regional strategy prepared by a of minor significance.
		nature of the proposal, it is considered that any inconsistency is of a e approval of the Director General's delegate is recommended on
	This Direction is a n land for residential mining of coal or ot identified to be loca	DLEUM PRODUCTION AND EXTRACTIVE INDUSTRIES natter for consideration as the Planning Proposal seeks to rezone the purposes, which may have the potential effect of prohibiting the her extractive materials on the subject lands, which have been ted above a proposed future underground coal mining area (the al Project area). Refer to Appendix 2 of the attached Planning

Proposal.

Under this Direction, the relevant planning authority is required to consult the Department of Primary Industries during the preparation of a Planning Proposal, to determine the development potential of any of the natural resources within the subject lands, and if they are of State/regional significance.

It is considered that the Planning Proposal is inconsistent with Direction 1.3, as the Planning Proposal contains no evidence or reference to past or proposed future communications between Council and the Department of Primary Industries regarding the Planning Proposal.

In light of the above, Council is requested to consult the Department of Primary Industries with respect to this Planning Proposal in terms of Direction 1.3.

2.1 ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION ZONES

This Direction requires a Planning Proposal to include provisions that facilitate the protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas. Council has indicated that the site contains some mature species of Cumberland Plain Woodland (an endangered ecological community). Council envisages that these trees would be protected under tree preservation clauses within the Wollondilly LEP 2011. This situation will be clarified following Council's consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage.

2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION

The Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with this Direction as it does not affect any items, areas, objects and places of environmental or indigenous heritage significance.

3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES

The Planning Proposal is generally consistent with Direction 3.1 as the proposed rezoning seeks to encourage a variety and choice of housing types in the Wollondilly LGA, by facilitating the creation of low density and large lot residential allotments within the subject land.

As outlined in the Planning Proposal, the subject lands are located adjacent to an existing urban area and will make efficient use of both the existing and future infrastructure and services, including a reticulated sewerage system for Bargo, which is anticipated to commence construction in 2014/2015.

This Direction states that:

'A planning proposal must, in relation to land to which this direction applies: (a) contain a requirement that residential development is not permitted until land is adequately serviced (or arrangements satisfactory to the council, or other appropriate authority, have been made to service it)'.

Clause 4.1(5) of the Wollondilly LEP 2011 states that 'any lot resulting from a subdivision of land in Zone R2 Low Density Residential or Zone R3 Medium Density Residential that is not serviced by a reticulated sewerage scheme must not be less than 975 square metres'.

Clause 7.1 'Essential Services', within the Wollondilly LEP 2011, addresses Clause 5(a) of the Direction.

In these circumstances it is considered that the Planning Proposal is consistent with the Direction.

3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT

The Planning Proposal is consistent with Direction 3.4 as it seeks to improve access to housing, jobs and services by public transport and support the efficient and viable

operation of existing infrastructure, as the subject lands are located in close proximity to existing road and public transport infrastructure.

4.2 MINE SUBSIDENCE AND UNSTABLE LAND

As outlined in the Planning Proposal, the subject land is located within the Bargo Mine Subsidence District. It is noted that Council has advised that the Mine Subsidence Board has indicated that effective design of future residential development on the subject lands, including the application of a two storey height limit for dwellings, will mitigate any potential subsidence impacts. However, to satisfy the requirements of this Direction, Council is required to provide advice to the Sydney Regional Office from the Mine Subsidence Board, prior to community consultation.

4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND

The Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with this Direction. However, it is noted that Council has proposed to undertake a Drainage/Flood Study as part of this Planning Proposal to identify if the subject lands are flood prone, due to the presence of a drainage line which runs through the subject lands and nearby flood prone lands(refer to Appendix 2 of the attached Planning Proposal).

4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION The Planning Proposal has identified part of the subject land (part of Lots 1 & 2 in

DP877774) is mapped as bushfire prone.

Under this direction, a relevant planning authority is required to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) following receipt of a Gateway Determination under section 56 of the Act, and prior to undertaking community consultation in satisfaction of section 57 of the Act. The direction also requires the planning proposal to have regard to 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006' and to introduce development controls to ensure bushfire hazard protection and provision of Asset Protection Zones (APZ).

It is noted that the Planning Proposal does not contain any reference to the provisions of Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006, or any previous or proposed communications with the NSW Rural Fire Service.

In light of the above, it is recommended that Council consult the Commissioner of NSW RFS prior to undertaking community consultation to satisfy Direction 4.4 and, if necessary, revise the Planning Proposal.

6.1 APPROVAL AND REFERRAL REQUIREMENTS

The Planning Proposal is consistent with this Direction as the proposal does not include additional provisions in the Wollondilly LEP 2011 which require concurrence, consultation or referral of development applications for the subject lands, to a Minister or public authority.

6.2 REZONING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES

The Planning Proposal is consistent with this Direction as it will not create, alter or reduce existing zones or reservations of land for public purposes.

7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036 Appendix 2 of the attached Planning Proposal identifies compliance with '7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2036'.

It is considered that the Planning Proposal is generally consistent with the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036, as it provides housing choice in an appropriate location.

RELEVANT STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) & REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS (DEEMED SEPPs) Part 3 of the Planning Proposal indicates that the Planning Proposal is not inconsistent

with any relevant SEPPs or SREPs. However, it is noted that SREP 20, SEPP 44 and SEPP 54 are relevant and are discussed as follows: *SREP 20 - HAWKESBURY-NEPEAN RIVER(No.2-1997) SREP 20 applies to the Planning Proposal as the site is located within the catchment area of the Nepean River. The Proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the SREP, however, the SREP requires Council to take various matters into consideration, including matters specific to rural residential development such as the preparation of a Total Water Cycle Management Study or Plan. The Proposal was supported by an On-site Waste Water Management Report and Council intends to undertake a further study into drainage and flooding. While this is the case, it would be appropriate for the Gateway to attach a condition to the determination, requiring Council to give consideration to the application of SREP 20. *SEPP 44 - KOALA HABITAT PROTECTION It is noted that a 2003 Assessment undertook an eight part test assessment to address the impact of the proposed development on koala habitat. This assessment found that a proposed subdivision facilitated by a rezoning would not have a significant impact upon koala habitat (refer to page 10 of the Planning Proposal). While this may be the case, it is recommended that Council consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage with regard to this Planning Proposal. *SEPP 55 - REMEDIATION OF LAND Council intends to undertake an investigation of the land to determine whether any contamination exists and to satisfy itself over the requirements of this SEPP. This approach is supported by the Regional Team. STANDARD INSTRUMENT (LEPs) ORDER 2006 It is considered that the Planning Proposal is generally consistent with the Standards Instruments (LEPs) Order. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : As identified above, Council will be required to consult with a number of agencies (including the Department of Primary Industries) to address various matters relating to the Planning Proposal. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Council has submitted a map which identifies the proposed zoning. Comment : Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Council intends to advertise the Planning Proposal for a period of 28 days, in Comment : accordance with the consultation requirements outlined in the Department's 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans' (Refer to page 14 of the attached Planning Proposal).

Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additiona	I Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy o	f the proposal
Does the proposal mee	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	It is considered that the Planning Proposal has merit for progression.
	In this light, it is recommended that the Planning Proposal proceed, subject to conditions identified in the 'Recommendations' section of this report.
oposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : February 2	311
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011, which was published on 25 February 2011.
	Note: It is not possible to remove "February 2011" (above) from the "due date" which was entered in error.
Assessment Criteri	a
Need for planning proposal :	The need for the Planning Proposal has been adequately addressed by Council (Refer to pages 3 to 4 of the attached Planning Proposal).
	The 'Wollondilly Growth Management Strategy 2011' identifies Bargo as one of the key suburbs in the Shire which will be the focus of future growth and development, and includes a target of 2000 new dwellings which are anticipated to be accommodated within Bargo by 2036.
	The subject lands were identified as part of a 'potential residential growth area' within the Bargo Structure Plan, as contained in the Wollondilly Growth Management Strategy 2011.
	Under the provisions of the Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011, the subject site is currently zoned RU4 Primary Production Small Lots, which does not permit residential development and associated subdivision.
	In light of the above, it is considered that the Planning Proposal provides the best means of achieving Council's objectives: to facilitate the creation of low density and large lot residential allotments on the subject lands.
	This Proposal supports Council's strategic direction to promote residential growth in Bargo by increasing the availability of land for residential purposes.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	including the Metropolita	s generally consistent with the stra an Plan for Sydney 2036 and Draft 5 4 of the attached Planning Proposa	South West Subregional	
	development to accomm	eeks to ensure an adequate supply odate Sydney's projected populati ted within existing urban areas and w release areas.	on growth with 70% of new	
	Metropolitan Plan for Sy expected future housing	Planning Proposal will assist in ac dney 2036 and Draft South West Su needs by increasing the availabilit poses, located in close proximity to	ubregional Strategy, to meet the by of land in the Wollondilly	
Environmental social economic impacts :	The potential environmental, social and economic implications of the Planning Proposal have been adequately addressed (Refer to pages 10 to 13 of the attached Planning Proposal).			
	identified the subject lan	una investigations undertaken by ds as a potential koala habitat, cor d trees including species character trees.	nprising exotic/native	
	due to the limited vegeta that the Planning Propos ecological communities	e habitat potential of the subject lai tion and absence of water bodies l sal was unlikely to pose a significal and threatened species, given that cleared to accommodate the previo	ocated on-site. It was found nt threat to these existing much of the vegetation on the	
		with the Office of Environment and ill generate any likely significant er		
	benefits, including provi	ndicates the proposed rezoning wil ding an increase and diversity in th well as, promoting economic devel	ne range of housing choices for	
	The Regional Team supp	orts this assumption.		
Assessment Proces	S			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month	Delegation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Office of Environment ar	atchment Management Authority nd Heritage nary Industries - Minerals and Petro	bleum	

Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No
2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes
If no, provide reasons :		
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No	
If Yes, reasons :		
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required. :	
If Other, provide reasons	:	
		shop 2004). Copies of these reports as attached.
Council has identified th * An Odour Report to ad * A Noise Report to addu * A Rail Noise and Rail N	ne need to underta Idress the impact of ress the adjacent t /ibration assessme ation of the land to sment; and	ke the following additional studies to support the Planning Proposal: of the adjacent turkey hatchery; urkey hatchery;
Council has identified th * An Odour Report to add * A Noise Report to add * A Rail Noise and Rail V * A Preliminary Investiga contaminated; * A Traffic Impact Asses	ne need to underta ldress the impact of ress the adjacent t /ibration assessme ation of the land to sment; and y.	ke the following additional studies to support the Planning Proposal: of the adjacent turkey hatchery; urkey hatchery; ent; • determine whether the land has been
Council has identified th * An Odour Report to add * A Noise Report to add * A Rail Noise and Rail V * A Preliminary Investiga contaminated; * A Traffic Impact Asses * A Drainage/Flood Stud	ne need to underta Idress the impact of ress the adjacent t /ibration assessme ation of the land to sment; and ly. ports Council unde	ke the following additional studies to support the Planning Proposal: of the adjacent turkey hatchery; urkey hatchery; ent; o determine whether the land has been ertaking these studies.
Council has identified th * An Odour Report to add * A Noise Report to add * A Rail Noise and Rail V * A Preliminary Investiga contaminated; * A Traffic Impact Asses * A Drainage/Flood Stud The Regional Team supp	ne need to underta ldress the impact of ress the adjacent t /ibration assessme ation of the land to sment; and ly. ports Council under ultations, if required	ke the following additional studies to support the Planning Proposal: of the adjacent turkey hatchery; urkey hatchery; ent; o determine whether the land has been ertaking these studies.
Council has identified th * An Odour Report to add * A Noise Report to add * A Rail Noise and Rail V * A Preliminary Investiga contaminated; * A Traffic Impact Asses * A Drainage/Flood Stud The Regional Team supp Identify any internal cons Residential Land Releas	ne need to underta Idress the impact of ress the adjacent t /ibration assessme ation of the land to sment; and ly. ports Council unde ultations, if required se (MDP)	ke the following additional studies to support the Planning Proposal: of the adjacent turkey hatchery; urkey hatchery; ent; o determine whether the land has been ertaking these studies.

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Proposed_Land_Use_Zone_and_Minimum_Lot_Size_Ma p.pdf	Мар	Yes
Planning_Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Flora_and_Fauna_Assessment_Part 1.pdf	Study	Yes
Flora_and_Fauna_Assessment_Part 2.pdf	Study	Yes
Onsite_Wastewater_Management_Report_Part 1.pdf	Study	Yes
Onsite_Wastewater_Management_Report_Part 2.pdf	Study	Yes
Planning_Proposal_Appendicies 1 to 4.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council_Report.pdf	Study	Yes
Council_Resolution.pdf	Study	Yes
Letter_from_Council.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

1.2 Rural Zones1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries2.3 Heritage Conservation3.1 Residential Zones

Proposed residential rezoning of land at 67 and 77 Avon Dam Road and 214, 218	3, 218, 23	5
and 245 Hawthorne Road, Bargo.		

	, ouigo.
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:
	The Planning Proposal proceeds, subject to the following conditions:
	1. The Director General's delegate agrees that the inconsistency with section 117
	Directions 1.2 Rural Zones is justified, pursuant to clause 5(c) of the Direction;
	2. Council consult with the Department of Primary Industries (Minerals and Petroleum)
	and NSW Rural Fire Services, pursuant to the requirements of section 117 Directions 1.3
	and 4.4, prior to exhibition of the Planning Proposal;
	and 4.4, provide extribution of the containing cooperation
	3. Consultation is required with the Mine Subsidence Board, prior to community
	consultation, pursuant to section 117 Direction 4.2 'Mine Subsidence and Unstable land';
	4. Council give consideration to the application of the Sydney Regional Environmental
	Plan No.20 - Hawkesbury-Nepean River (No.2 - 1997), particularly whether the preparation
	of a Total Water Cycle Management Study or Plan is necessary;
	of a Total water cycle wanagement oludy of Frantis necessary,
	5. Council complete the following studies:
	 An Odour Report to address the impact of the adjacent turkey hatchery;
	 A Noise Report to address the adjacent turkey hatchery;
	- A Rail Noise and Rail Vibration Assessment;
	- A preliminary investigation of the land to determine whether the land has
	been contaminated;
	- A Traffic Impact Assessment; and
	- A Drainage/Flood Study.
	6. Following consideration of the completed studies and receipt of advice from the
	Department of Primary Industries, Rural Fire Service and the Mine Subsidence Board,
	Council revises the Planning Proposal and refers the adopted revised Proposal to the
	Department's Sydney West Regional Office for attention;
	7. Community consultation is required for a period of 28 days; and
	8. The Planning Proposal be completed within 12 months.
	Further, Council be required to consult with the public authorities, under section 56(2)(d) of the Act, as identified in this report (see page 10).
Supporting Reasons :	It is considered that this Planning Proposal holds merit for progression.
Signature:	Aleral
~	
Printed Name:	TDORAN Date: 19/1/12